

## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the subject application is requested in view of the above amendments and the following Remarks.

**I. Claim Status/Amendments.** Claims 9 and 10 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1-8, 11, 12 and 13-19 have been amended, without prejudice or disclaimer. By this Amendment, claims 1-8 and 11-20 are pending. Support for the amended claims is found throughout the specification, e.g., at page 3, lines 7-23; page 6, lines 17-18; page 7, lines 1-10; page 10, lines 26-30; page 11, lines 16-30; page 13, lines 9-22; and original claims 1-20. Applicants note particularly that the specification supports the term “prothrombin-converting enzyme” that is recited in amended claims 1, 4 and 13. The specification refers, e.g., to “prothrombinase” as “any substance which converts prothrombin to thrombin” (specification at page 6, lines 17-18). The specification further refers the terms “prothrombinase substrate” and prothrombinase product” as “the substrate and product involved in prothrombinase-mediated catalysis” (specification at page 7, lines 1-3). Example 1 further describes measuring the product produced by, among other reactions, a reaction of normal or actylated prothrombin, factor Xa, plus either PS:PC or platelets, and calcium chloride (specification at page 16, lines 13-15). These passages convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that when the application was filed Applicants had possession of a mixture comprising a “prothrombin-converting enzyme,” as set forth in the claims. Ipsis verbis recitation of the term used in the claim is not required.

Each amendment to the claims is supported by the application as filed.

Accordingly, by this Amendment, no new matter has been added to the application.

**II. Claim Rejections.** The claim rejections set forth in the Office Action are summarized and addressed as follows:

(i) Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph (enablement). Claims 1-3 have been rejected as being directed to a method comprising “detecting the catalysis” of a prothrombinase. In response, without conceding the validity of the rejections, claims 1-3 have been amended. The amended claims are directed to “a method for assaying activation state of platelets,” comprising steps (a) and (b), as set forth in claim 1. The amendments to claims 1-3 are believed to address and overcome the basis of the rejections. Reconsideration of claims 1-3 and withdrawal of all rejections thereof under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph for lack of enablement is requested, accordingly.

(ii) (i) Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Claims 1-12 have been rejected as allegedly indefinite for including the term “detecting.” The Examiner’s position is that it is not known what catalytic entity is to undergo or receive “detecting.” In response, without conceding the validity of the rejections or the Examiner’s position, the claims 1-8, 11 and 12 have been amended. Claims 9 and 10 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-8, 11, and 12 are now directed to a method for assaying platelet activation state. The method comprises providing a mixture comprising platelets, a prothrombin-converting enzyme, and a substrate for the prothrombin-converting enzyme, and assaying the production of a product produced in the mixture, which product does not activate platelets (see amended claim 1). Each of claims 2-8, 11, and 12 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 1 and are therefore, likewise, directed to a method comprising providing a mixture comprising platelets, a prothrombin-converting enzyme, and a substrate for the prothrombin-converting enzyme, and assaying the production of a product produced in the mixture, which product does not activate platelets. The basis of the present rejection of remaining claims 1-8, 11, and 12 is therefore believed to have been addressed and overcome.

The Examiner has objected to the term “associated” in claim 1. In response, without conceding the validity of the Examiner’s position, claim 1 has been amended. The phrase “a prothrombinase which is associated with the platelet” does not appear in the amended claim. The basis of the present rejection is believed to have been addressed and overcome.

The Examiner has objected to recitation of the phrase “modified prothrombinase substrate” that appeared in claims 1-3, 5-7, 13, 15, and 16. In response, without conceding the validity of the Examiner’s position, the claims have been amended and are now directed, in relevant part, simply to a “substrate.” The basis of the present rejections is believed to have been addressed and overcome.

For all of the reasons set forth above, all rejections of pending claims 1-8, 11-13, 15, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph are believed to have been addressed and overcome. Reconsideration of pending claims 1-8, 11-13, 15, and 16 and withdrawal of all rejections thereof for alleged indefiniteness is requested, accordingly.

(iii) Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Claims 1-5, 9-11, 13, 15, 17, 19, and 20 have been rejected as allegedly anticipated by Szczeklik et al., *Blood* 80:2006-2011 (1992) (“Szczeklik et al.”). The rejections are traversed on the grounds that Szczeklik et al. fail to disclose the claimed invention.

Szczeklik et al. does not anticipate the claimed method because fails Szczeklik fails to teach a method comprising converting a modified prothrombinase-converting enzyme substrate (e.g., modified prothrombin) to a product which does not activate platelets (e.g., modified thrombin) and assaying the presence of the product. The Examiner’s basis for asserting lack of novelty is based in part on the assertion that Szczeklik et al. describe a method for assaying the activation state of a platelet by measuring thrombin generation. Office Action at



evidence that Szczeklik et al. react a modified prothrombin with prothrombinase. Nor, in any event, do Szczeklik et al. describe assaying for any prothrombinase product other than thrombin.

(iv) Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Claims 8 and 14 are rejected as allegedly obvious over Szczeklik et al. in view of Phizicky & Fields, 59 Microbiol. Rev. 94 (1995) (“Phizicky & Fields”). Claims 12, 14, and 18 are rejected as allegedly obvious over Szczeklik et al. in view of Mattler & Bang, Thromb. Haemost. 38:776 (1977) (“Mattler & Bang”). The rejections are respectfully traversed, as follows.

defect in Szczeklik et al. because Phizicky & Fields fail to suggest production and assay of a modified prothrombinase product which does not activate platelets. Similarly, the Examiner cites Mattler & Bang merely for the proposition that it would have been obvious to use a chromogenic substrate in order to detect thrombin activity. Without conceding the Examiner's position, however, Mattler & Bang cannot cure the defect in Szczeklik et al. because Mattler & Bang fail to suggest production and assay of a modified prothrombinase product which does not activate platelets. Accordingly, neither Szczeklik et al. alone, nor Szczeklik et al. in combination with either Phizicky & Fields or Mattler & Bang suggests production and assay of a modified prothrombinase product which does not activate platelets. Accordingly, the obvious rejections should be withdrawn.

For the reasons set forth above, the claims are not obvious over Szczeklik et al. in view of Phizicky & Fields nor over Szczeklik et al. in view of Mattler & Bang. Reconsideration of claims 8, 12, 14, and 18 and withdrawal of the rejections thereof under rule 103(a) is requested accordingly.

### CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully requested that the application be reconsidered and that all pending claims be allowed and the case passed to issue.

If there are any other issues remaining, which the Examiner believes could be resolved through either a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Mitchell Bernstein, Ph.D.

Registration No.: 46,550

Agent for Applicant(s)

Dated: October 25, 2004

DARBY & DARBY P.C.  
P.O. Box 5257  
New York, New York 10150-5257  
(212) 527-7700  
(212) 753-6237 (fax)